

TAXMAN

THE TAX LAW WEEKLY

ISSN 0072-8198

www.taxmann.com

HIGHLIGHTS

2 SEP 2026

पत्रिका अनुभाग आई आई एम सी

PERIODICAL SECTION

- ▶ Where petitioner applied for registration as Income Tax Practitioner before completing one year of practice as required by Rule 257, since he had appeared as authorised representative only from 29-9-2025 and applied on 15-12-2025, action of authorities in returning application was valid, as one-year practice condition is a valid supplement to statutory scheme (Madras) **580**
- ▶ Where employer paid rent for assessee's residential accommodation directly to landlord and recovered same from assessee's salary, such arrangement did not disentitle assessee to HRA exemption under section 10(13A), and denial of exemption merely because rent was paid by employer and not directly by assessee was not justified (Gujarat) **594**
- ▶ Where government-formed registered trust applied interest income for purchasing disaster mitigation equipment as per trust objects, furnished bank certificates showing transfer of funds and vendor invoices in name of State Disaster Management, with no finding of misappropriation or misuse of funds, exemption under section 11 was allowable and violation of section 13 was not established (Telangana) **559**
- ▶ Where proceedings resulting in refund were not delayed for reasons attributable to assessee and subsequent delay in release of refund arose due to administrative, systemic or bank-account validation issues, interest under section 244A was payable till date of actual refund and no exclusion under section 244A(2) was permissible (Bombay) **566**
- ▶ Where assessee in statement under section 132(4) admitted unexplained investment in construction of a convention hall and later only filed ITR and offered alternate explanations, such actions did not constitute valid retraction, and since admission was corroborated by physical existence of building with no evidence of investment by others, addition under section 69 was to be sustained (Karnataka) **585**
- ▶ Where assessee with separate DSIR-approved R&D units claimed section 35(2AB) deduction and demonstrated through standalone audited accounts and detailed data that its R&D was distinct from manufacturing units availing section 80-IB/80-IC benefits, apportionment of R&D expenditure to eligible units was unwarranted in absence of material linking R&D work to eligible manufacturing units (Bombay) **613**

+ Weekly Browser — A Weekly Analytical Guide to Judicial and Statutory Happenings

FOR THE WEEK OF AUGUST 22 - AUGUST 28, 2026 RELEASED ON AUGUST 22

MODE OF CITATION [2026] 311 TAXMAN...(...)

VOLUME 311 | ISSUE 8 Price : ₹ 450 TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 112

STATUTES [31-48]

TAX REPORTS [559-628]

MAGAZINE [81-84]

2 SEP 2026

पत्रिका अनुमान थई जाई एम सी
PERIODICAL SEC. IIMC

FOUNDER EDITOR

U.K. BHARGAVA

EDITOR

RAKESH BHARGAVA

HONY. COORDINATING EDITORS
DR. VINOD K. SINGHANIA,
VINAY JAIN AND NARAYAN JAIN

Taxman weekly comes in Six volumes.

Annual Subscription : ₹ 17,500 for Six volumes for the year 2026. Single copy is ₹ 450 only.

Back years' volume ₹ 3000 per volume for paper back and add ₹ 300 per volume for Hard case binding.

Taxman weekly is published on every Saturday. NON-RECEIPT OF PART MUST BE NOTIFIED WITHIN 60 DAYS OF THE DUE DATE.

Editor or publisher does not necessarily agree with the views expressed in magazine section of Taxman weekly.

Material published in this part is the exclusive copyrighted property of Taxmann Allied Services (P.) Ltd. and cannot be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means without written permission of publisher.

This publication is sold with the understanding that authors/editors and publishers are not responsible for the result of any action taken on the basis of this work nor for any error or omission to any person, whether a purchaser of this publication or not. All disputes are subject to jurisdiction of the Delhi High Court.

Address your editorial and subscription correspondence to **Taxmann Allied Services (P.) Ltd., 59/32, New Rohtak Road, New Delhi-110005**

Printed and Published by **Ansh Bhargava** on behalf of **Taxmann Allied Services (P.) Ltd.** and Printed at **Tan Prints (India) Pvt. Ltd., 44 Km. Mile Stone, National Highway, Rohtak Road, Village Rohad, Distt. Jhajjar (Haryana)** and Published at **59/32, New Rohtak Road, New Delhi-110 005**

Editor : Rakesh Bhargava

Phone : 91-11-45562222

Email: sales@taxmann.com

Website: www.taxmann.com

ISSN : 0972-8198

MODE OF CITATION

[2026] 311 Taxman...(...)

TOTAL PAGES [112]

CONTENTS

STATUTES

□ CIRCULARS

- Section 119 of the Income-tax Act, 1961, read with section 536 of the Income-tax Act, 2025 and rule 114 of the Income-tax Rules, 1962 - Income-tax authority - Instructions to subordinate authorities - Notified authority to upload information received under AEOI to annual information statement (AIS) - ORDER F. NO. 225/73/2025-ITA-II, DATED 8-7-2026 31
- Section 239 of the Income tax Act, 2025, read with rule 245 of the Income-tax Rules, 1962 - Income-tax authorities - Instructions to subordinate authority - Notified authority to upload information received under AEOI to Annual Information Statement (AIS) - ORDER F. NO. 225/73/2025-ITA-II, DATED 8-7-2026 31

□ NOTIFICATIONS

- Section 147 of the Income-tax Act, 2025 - Income of offshore banking units and units of International Financial Services Centre - Deductions for - Exemption from TDS on specified payments received by units in an International Financial Services Centre - NOTIFICATION S.O. 3743(E) [NO. 80/2026/F.NO. 275/19/2026-IT(B)], DATED 10-7-2026 32
- Section 258 of the Income-tax Act, 2025 - Disclosure of information relating to assesseees - Notified authority for sharing income taxpayers' information for identifying eligible beneficiaries under Punyashlok Ahilyadevi Holkar Farmer Loan Waiver Scheme, 2026 - NOTIFICATION NO. S.O. 3759(E) [NO. 81/2026/F.NO. 225/77/2026/ITA-II], DATED 10-7-2026 38
- Section 10(46) of the Income-tax Act, 1961 - Exemptions - Statutory body/authority/board/commission - Notified body or authority - NOTIFICATION S.O. 3799(E) [NO. 83/2026/F. NO. 300196/45/2025-ITA-I], DATED 13-7-2026 39
- Section 10(46) of the Income-tax Act, 1961 - Exemptions - Statutory body/authority/board/commission - Notified body or authority - NOTIFICATION S.O. 3798(E) [NO. 82/2026/F. NO. 300196/45/2025-ITA-I], DATED 13-7-2026 40

- Section 72 of the Income-tax Act, 2025 - Mode of computation of capital gains - Notified Cost Inflation Index for financial year 2026-27 - NOTIFICATION S.O. 3889(E) [F. NO. 85/2026/F.NO.370149/112/2026-TPL], DATED 15-7-2026 42
- Section 11 of the Income-tax Act, 2025 - Incomes not included in total income - Notified pension fund - NOTIFICATION S.O. 3890(E) [NO. 84/2026/F.NO. 500/PF-15/S10(23FE)/FT&TR-II], DATED 15-7-2026 43
- Section 159 of the Income-tax Act, 2025 - Agreement with foreign countries or specified territories and adoption by Central Government of agreement between specified associations for double taxation relief - Protocol amending agreement between government of republic of India and government of Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka for avoidance of double taxation and prevention of fiscal evasion with respect to taxes on income - NOTIFICATION S.O. 3926(E) [No. 88 /2026/F. No. 503/8/2005-FTD-II], DATED 16-7-2026 44
- Section 11 of the Income-tax Act, 2025, read with Schedule III - Incomes not included in total income - Body or authority or board or trust or commission - NOTIFICATION S.O. 3920(E) [NO. 87/2026/F.NO. 300196/75/2024-ITA-I], DATED 16-7-2026 46
- Section 10(46) of the Income-tax Act, 1961 - Exemptions - Statutory body/authority/board/commission - Notified body or authority - NOTIFICATION S.O. 3919(E) [NO. 86/2026/F. NO. 300196/75/2024-ITA-I], DATED 16-7-2026 47

TAX REPORTS : TABLE OF CASES

- ◆ A.P. Vulnerability Reduction Fund Trust v. Asstt. Director of Income-tax, Exemptions (Telangana) 559
- ◆ Bedmutha Industries Ltd. v. Asstt. CIT (Bom.) 566
- ◆ Ganesamoorthi (V.) v. ITO (Mad.) 580
- ◆ Kudlur Ramaswamy Sathyanarayana v. Asstt. CIT (Kar.) 585
- ◆ Kuldeepkumar D. Kaura v. Dy. CIT (Guj.) 594
- ◆ Nilgiri Vanijya (P.) Ltd. v. Pr. CIT (Cal.) 603
- ◆ Pr. CIT v. Gujarat Gas Trading Company Ltd. (Guj.) 606
- ◆ Pr. CIT, Central v. Macelods Pharmaceuticals Ltd. (Bom.) 613
- ◆ Qjaswini Retailers (P.) Ltd. v. UOI (Cal.) 620
- ◆ Schwing Stetter (India) (P.) Ltd. v. Addl./Jt./Dy./Asstt. CIT/ITO, National Faceless Assessment Centre (Mad.) 625

SUBJECT INDEX

AUTHORISED REPRESENTATIVES

- *Registration as Income Tax Practitioner* - Where petitioner applied for registration as Income Tax Practitioner before completing one year of practice as required by Rule 257, since he had appeared as authorised representative only from 29-9-2025 and applied on 15-12-2025, action of authorities in returning application was valid, as one-year practice condition is a valid supplement to statutory scheme - *V. Ganesamoorthi v. ITO* (Mad.) 580

BUSINESS EXPENDITURE

■ Allowability of

- *Revised return* - Where assessee initially made a provision for commission expenditure and indicated in return that deduction would be claimed on actual payment, but later sought deduction during assessment proceedings without filing revised return, since

all necessary facts were already on record and Assessing Officer accepted quantum of expense, Tribunal was justified in entertaining and allowing assessee's claim - *Pr. CIT v. Gujarat Gas Trading Company Ltd. (Guj.)* 606

- *Foreign currency transactions* - Where assessment was reopened solely on basis of information already disclosed in original return and considered in initial assessment, including net loss on foreign currency transactions and provision for warranty, such reassessment amounted to mere change of opinion and, thus reassessment order and related proceedings were to be set aside - *Schwing Stetter (India) (P.) Ltd. v. Addl./Jt./Dy./Asstt. CIT/ITO, National Faceless Assessment Centre (Mad.)* 625

CHARITABLE OR RELIGIOUS TRUST

■ Exemption of income from property held under

- *Violation of section 13* - Where government-formed registered trust applied interest income for purchasing disaster mitigation equipment as per trust objects, furnished bank certificates showing transfer of funds and vendor invoices in name of State Disaster Management, with no finding of misappropriation or misuse of funds, exemption under section 11 was allowable and violation of section 13 was not established - *A.P. Vulnerability Reduction Fund Trust v. Asstt. Director of Income-tax, Exemptions (Telangana)* 559

DEDUCTIONS

■ Profits and gains from industrial undertakings other than infrastructure development undertakings

- *Research and development expenses* - Where assessee with separate DSIR-approved R&D units claimed section 35(2AB) deduction and demonstrated through standalone audited accounts and detailed data that its R&D was distinct from manufacturing units availing section 80-IB/80-IC benefits, apportionment of R&D expenditure to eligible units was unwarranted in absence of material linking R&D work to eligible manufacturing units - *Pr. CIT, Central v. Macelods Pharmaceuticals Ltd. (Bom.)* 613

HOUSE RENT ALLOWANCE

- *Employer paying rent to landlord* - Where employer paid rent for assessee's residential accommodation directly to landlord and recovered same from assessee's salary, such arrangement did not disentitle assessee to HRA exemption under section 10(13A), and denial of exemption merely because rent was paid by employer and not directly by assessee was not justified - *Kuldeepkumar D. Kaura v. Dy. CIT (Guj.)* 594

INCOME ESCAPING ASSESSMENT

■ Conducting inquiry, providing opportunity before issue of notice

- *Illustrations* - Where Assessing Officer failed to consider assessee's replies and supporting materials in their entirety before passing order under section 148A(3) and merely referred to circular fund movements without examining assessee's explanations or commercial rationale, order under section 148A(3) and consequential notice under section 148 were liable to be quashed for violation of principles of natural justice, and matter was to be remanded for fresh consideration - *Qjaswini Retailers (P.) Ltd. v. UOI (Cal.)* 620

INCOME-TAX ACT, 1961/INCOME-TAX ACT, 2025

- Section 10(13A)/Schedule III 595
- Section 11/341 559
- Section 37(1)/34 607, 625
- Section 69/103 586

- Section 80-IB/141 **614**
- Section 115BAA/200 **603**
- Section 148A/281 **620**
- Section 244A/437 **567**
- Section 515 **581**

REFUNDS

■ Interest on

- *Exclusion of period* - Where proceedings resulting in refund were not delayed for reasons attributable to assessee and subsequent delay in release of refund arose due to administrative, systemic or bank-account validation issues, interest under section 244A was payable till date of actual refund and no exclusion under section 244A(2) was permissible - *Bedmutha Industries Ltd. v. Asstt. CIT (Bom.)* **566**
- *Period of exclusion* - Where no determination of period liable to exclusion under section 244A(2) had been made by competent authority, Assessing Officer had no jurisdiction to decide question of exclusion of period and deny interest under section 244A - *Bedmutha Industries Ltd. v. Asstt. CIT (Bom.)* **566**

TAX ON INCOME OF CERTAIN DOMESTIC COMPANIES

- *Condonation of delay* - Where there is no relevant circular for deciding condonation of delay in filing Form 10-IC for Assessment Year 2024-25, Board is a competent authority to consider and adjudicate same - *Nilgiri Vanijya (P.) Ltd. v. Pr. CIT (Cal.)* **603**

UNEXPLAINED INVESTMENTS

- *Admission* - Where assessee in statement under section 132(4) admitted unexplained investment in construction of a convention hall and later only filed ITR and offered alternate explanations, such actions did not constitute valid retraction, and since admission was corroborated by physical existence of building with no evidence of investment by others, addition under section 69 was to be sustained - *Kudlur Ramaswamy Sathyanarayana v. Asstt. CIT (Kar.)* **585**
- *Partnership firm* - Where assessee, an individual partner, admitted in his section 132(4) statement to unexplained investment in construction of a Convention Hall and no material established investment by other partners, such unexplained investment was rightly assessed in individual's hands under section 69 and not in hands of partnership firm, despite subsequent retraction - *Kudlur Ramaswamy Sathyanarayana v. Asstt. CIT (Kar.)* **585**

MAGAZINE : FEATURES

- ◆ A few significant provisions in income-tax law which crave for clarity or change// *V.K. Subramani* **81**