

*Journal of
Institutional
and Theoretical
Economics*

JITE

Vol. 182, No. 1

March 2026

**41th International
Seminar on the New
Institutional Economics –
Analyzing Criminal
Decision Making with the
Tools of Economics and
Criminology**

Christoph Engel and Yoan Hermstrüwer:

Doctrine as a Decision Aid: A Symposium at the
Interface of Law, Research on Decision-Making and
Computer Science

**Daniel Schwarcz, Debarati Das, Dongyeop Kang,
and Brett McDonnell:**

Thinking Like a Lawyer in the Age of Generative AI:
Cognitive Limits on AI Adoption Among Lawyers

Comment by Joel Niklaus

Comment by Karl Hofstetter

Omri Ben-Shahar:

The Plausibility of Algorithmic Legal Commands

Comment by Talia Gillis

Comment by Anthony Niblett

Anthony Hughes, Ning Ma, and Nikolaos Aletras:

Investigating Privacy Preservation of Language
Models in Legal Text Summarization:

A Preliminary Study

Comment by Aniket Kesari

Stefan Th. Gries:

Ordinary Meaning in Legal Interpretation: A Proposal
from a Corpus (and a Bit of an LLM) Perspective

Comment by Asia J. Biega

Comment by Johannes Kruse

**Nils Holzenberger, Damien Charlotin, and
Andrew Blair-Stanek:**

Narrative-Based Decision-Making in Artificial
Intelligence and Law

Comment by Jelena Mitrović


Comment by Yoan Hermstrüwer

Dan Simon:

Can We Trust Humans with Legal Reasoning?

Comment by Markus Kneer and

Lucien Baumgartner



Mohr Siebeck

Contents

41th International Seminar on the New Institutional Economics – Analyzing Criminal Decision Making with the Tools of Economics and Criminology

Christoph Engel and Yoan Hermstrüwer:

Doctrine as a Decision Aid: A Symposium at the Interface of Law, Research on Decision-Making and Computer Science 1–5

Daniel Schwarcz, Debarati Das, Dongyeop Kang, and Brett McDonnell:

Thinking Like a Lawyer in the Age of Generative AI: Cognitive Limits on AI Adoption Among Lawyers 6–26

Comment by Joel Niklaus 27–30

Comment by Karl Hofstetter 31–35

Omri Ben-Shahar:

The Plausibility of Algorithmic Legal Commands 36–55

Comment by Talia Gillis 56–67

Comment by Anthony Niblett 68–72

Anthony Hughes, Ning Ma, and Nikolaos Aletras:

Investigating Privacy Preservation of Language Models in Legal Text Summarization: A Preliminary Study 73–82

Comment by Aniket Kesari 83–85

Stefan Th. Gries:

Ordinary Meaning in Legal Interpretation: A Proposal from a Corpus (and a Bit of an LLM) Perspective 86–107

Comment by Asia J. Biega 108–111

Comment by Johannes Kruse 112–119

Nils Holzenberger, Damien Charlotin, and Andrew Blair-Stanek:

Narrative-Based Decision-Making in Artificial Intelligence and Law 120–144

Comment by Jelena Mitrović 145–149

Comment by Yoan Hermstrüwer 150–155

Dan Simon:

Can We Trust Humans with Legal Reasoning? 156–180

Comment by Markus Kneer and Lucien Baumgartner 181–189

